

In re Appln. of WILLAERT et al.
Application No. 10/614,148

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above-identified application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

The Office Action of December 29, 2004, allowed all pending claims (i.e., 8, 9 and 11-15) with the exception of claim 10. Claim 10 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as lacking sufficient basis for the limitation "transparent solution or dispersion." In response, applicants have changed the dependency of claim 10 from claim 8 to claim 11. As the rejection of claim 10 has been overcome, withdrawal of the Section 112 rejection, and allowance of the application, is respectfully solicited.

Applicants further acknowledge the Interview Summary attached to the Office Action. While applicants agree that the Interview Summary accurately reflects the substance of the interview, applicants have no information concerning, or means of verifying, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's processing of the Preliminary Amendment outlined by the examiner in the Interview Summary.

Conclusion

The application is considered in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



Christopher T. Griffith, Reg. No. 33,392
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900
180 North Stetson Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780
(312) 616-5600 (telephone)
(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: March 22, 2005

Amendment or ROA - Regular (Revised 11-23-04)